

Review article

Current approaches to the assessment and treatment of acute severe asthma

Ruben D Restrepo*, Andrew Tate, Donna D Gardner, Leonard D Wittnebel, Richard Wettstein, Felix Khusid

Email: restrepor@uthscsa.edu

Abstract

Despite the decline in overall asthma mortality, acute severe asthma continues to be a significant challenge to clinicians. Patients with acute severe asthma present unique clinical features that require early recognition and aggressive treatment. The aim of this review is to describe the most current evidence that supports diagnostic and therapeutic approaches in the management of patients with acute severe asthma in the clinical setting.

Keywords: Asthma, asthma disease management, asthma therapy, acute severe asthma, refractory asthma, status asthmaticus.

Background

Asthma remains one of the most common chronic diseases affecting almost 300 million people worldwide.¹⁻³ Acute severe asthma represents one

of the more common medical emergency situations and the most serious clinical presentation of asthma.⁴ Although the precise definition of acute severe asthma presents difficulties, it is typically characterised by the presence of severe respiratory distress due to an asthma episode that requires the use of bronchodilators, oxygen, and oral or intravenous corticosteroids.⁴ Other terms such as asthma exacerbation, status asthmaticus, near-fatal asthma and life-threatening asthma have been used to describe the severity of acute asthma events. An asthma exacerbation can usually be presented in the clinical setting under one of two subcategories; *severe* [dyspnoea at rest; interferes with conversation, peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) <40% predicted, usually requires emergency department (ED) visit and likely hospitalisation, partial relief from frequent inhaled short-acting beta-agonists (SABA), oral systemic corticosteroids; some symptoms last for >3 days after treatment is begun, and/or adjunctive therapies are helpful], and *respiratory arrest imminent* (too dyspnoeic to speak; sweating, PEFR <25% predicted, requires ED/hospitalisation; possible ICU, minimal or no relief from frequent inhaled SABA, intravenous corticosteroids, and/or adjunctive therapies are

Ruben D Restrepo, MD, RRT, FAARC

Professor of Respiratory Care. The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio. 7703 Floyd Curl Dr, San Antonio, TX 78229.

Andrew Tate, BSRC, RRT

Respiratory Therapist. Children's Hospital of San Antonio. 333 N Santa Rosa St, San Antonio, TX 78207

Donna D Gardner, MSHP, RRT, FAARC

Associate Professor and Chair, Department of Respiratory Care, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio. 7703 Floyd Curl Dr, San Antonio, TX 78229.

Leonard D Wittnebel, PhD, RRT

Associate Professor, Respiratory and Surgical Technology University of Arkansas For Medical Sciences. College of Health Professions 4301 W. Markham St. #737 Little Rock, AR 72205-7199

Richard Wettstein, MMEd, RRT

Associate Professor, Department of Respiratory Care, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78229.

Felix Khusid, BS, RRT-ACCS, NPS, RPFT, FAARC, FCCM

Administrative Director for Respiratory Therapy and Pulmonary Physiology Center New York Methodist Hospital. 506 6th Street, Brooklyn, NY 11224.

How to cite this article: Restrepo RD, Tate A, Gardner DD, Wittnebel LD, Wettstein R, Khusid F. Current approaches to the assessment and treatment of acute severe asthma. *Ind J Respi Care* 2015; 4:521-41.

helpful).^{5,6} Status asthmaticus has often carried the connotation of being a refractory condition characterised by progressive respiratory distress that is refractory to the continuous administration of short-acting bronchodilators in the emergency department.⁷ *Near-fatal asthma* and *life-threatening asthma* are defined as asthma attacks that can progress rapidly to hypercapnia and hypoxaemia, leading to impending or full respiratory arrest, usually requiring intensive care unit admission.^{4,8-10} While fewer than 10% of patients present with acute severe asthma, as many as 20% of these patients may end up being admitted to the ICU, and 4% intubated and mechanically ventilated.^{7,11} Preventive therapies are often ignored and primary care follow-up inadequate among children and adolescents treated in the ED. The morbidity and mortality associated with acute severe asthma can be primarily attributed to inadequate recognition and identification of the underlying type of asthmatic exacerbation, the underestimation of severity resulting in delay of emergency treatment, and poor baseline control due to inhaler incompetence.^{8,12,13}

The aim of this review is to describe the most current evidence that supports diagnostic and therapeutic approaches in the management of patients with acute severe asthma in the clinical setting.

Asthma Phenotypes

Several studies have demonstrated that not all asthmatics that have acute severe asthma have the same clinical presentation. Since onset of an exacerbation could be rather fast or gradual, and their recovery could be quick or require prolonged

hospitalisation, classification of severe asthma into different phenotypes has been proposed by several authors (*Table 1*).^{9,14,15} However, the prescribed management for patients with acute severe asthma should depend more on the clinical condition than a particular phenotype since acute severe asthma can lead to acute respiratory failure and death if left untreated.^{16,17} Most patients who present to the emergency department with acute severe asthma typically have a prior history of similar visits despite being managed at home with inhaled corticosteroids, long-acting β_2 -agonists or theophylline, and at times even with oral corticosteroids.⁸

Patients with a gradual onset of acute asthma are generally slow to respond to frontline pharmacologic agents, such as corticosteroids, often necessitating adjunctive treatments such as mechanical ventilation,²⁰ magnesium sulfate,²² and leukotriene modulators.²³ Most fatalities in this phenotype occur in middle-aged or elderly female patients with severe and poorly controlled chronic asthma.^{8,16,25,26} The second and most common phenotype, produces an acute onset of symptoms. These *'brittle asthmatics'* have an acute asphyxic asthma attack typically due to a massive allergen exposure or emotional distress.²¹ Respiratory failure may develop within two hours of the onset of symptoms and death can be sudden and unexpected.^{10,19,21,27} While characterised by its rapid onset, patients with this phenotype are generally younger males who respond quickly to treatment. They typically have normal lung function hours or days prior to the acute severe asthma attack and often require admission to the hospital ward only for short term monitoring.²¹ Virtually all patients

Table 1. Phenotypes of Acute Severe Asthma ^{8,10,12, 18-21}

	Phenotype	
	Gradual Onset	Sudden Onset
Course	Days	Hours – asphyxic asthma
Incidence	10-33%	45-88%
Airway pathology	Gelatinous mucus plugging	No mucus plugging
Predominant inflammatory cell*	Eosinophil	Neutrophil
Response to treatment	Slow	Faster
Hospitalisation course	Long	Short
Prevention	Possible	Undetermined**

* Blood and sputum cells when no infection is present.

**Avoidance of aspirin/Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and daily use of PEFr measurements will likely reduce incidence.

presenting with an acute severe asthma event require hospitalisation and are considered at risk for respiratory failure or death.²⁸

Risk Factors

A history of prior hospital admission due to asthma within the past year, that requires mechanical ventilation, is considered the greatest predictor of acute severe asthma.²⁸⁻³⁰ Lack of utilisation of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) two weeks prior to the onset of symptoms has been strongly associated with the development of acute severe asthma.⁸ When compared with mild-to-moderate asthma, patients with acute severe asthma had lower adherence to anti-asthma treatment and low serum level concentrations of prednisolone and cortisol.^{8,31} Increased use of nebulisers, delays in medical care and initiation of corticosteroid therapy typically also result in a higher risk of acute severe asthma.³⁰ The incidence of poor perception of dyspnoea in patients with severe asthma could be as high as 36%.³¹ Determining the presence and extent of reversible, allergen-induced bronchoconstriction by utilising a methacholine challenge, while useful in the outpatient setting, carries serious risks in the already established severe asthmatic. Physicians and asthma educators are encouraged to routinely measure dyspnoea scores at least once during the follow up of all their patients who have asthma to modify or develop therapeutic plans that prevent acute severe asthma episodes.³² Poor perception of dyspnoea can cause treatment delay, near fatal events, and death in some asthmatic patients.^{31,33} In addition, patients with asthma are also more likely to be treated for mental health problems such as anxiety, panic disorders, depression and demonstrate more negative social outcomes.^{34,35}

Pathophysiology

Acute severe asthma is characterised by severe dynamic hyperinflation due to marked limitation of the expiratory flow.

Dynamic hyperinflation: The progressive narrowing of the airway, shorter expiratory times and breath-stacking by the patient, ultimately leads to gas trapping and lung hyperinflation.^{23,36,37} The presence of intrinsic positive-end expiratory

pressure (PEEP) or autoPEEP results from incomplete expiration and increases with increase in minute ventilation and degree of airflow obstruction. Dynamic hyperinflation also results in a significant decrease in systemic venous return.³⁸ Pulmonary hypertension caused by lung hyperinflation may result in increased right ventricular afterload. The presence of pulsus paradoxus in patients with acute severe asthma is an indication of ventilatory muscle fatigue and impending respiratory failure.

The gas exchange abnormalities observed in acute severe asthma largely depend on the extent of intrapulmonary shunting due to bronchospasm, airway oedema, inflammation and mucus plugs.^{39,40}

Patient assessment

Acute severe asthma attacks are episodes of progressive increase in shortness of breath, cough, wheezing, or chest tightness, or some combination of these symptoms. The general appearance of the patient can often precede the recognition of significant respiratory distress. Signs such as cyanosis, gasping, exhaustion, hypotension and decreased consciousness are suggestive of acute severe asthma and indicate the need for immediate therapeutic decisions including mechanical ventilation.⁴⁰ Good clinical judgment should always come first.

Diagnostic methods

Therapeutic interventions should not be delayed as a result of waiting for laboratory data. Nevertheless, patients with acute severe asthma must be closely monitored for deterioration in their condition and response to therapy. This should include blood gas analysis, chest radiography, blood counts and drug monitoring.

Lung function

Changes in peak flows of patients with asphyxic asthma typically reflect acute bronchospasm rather than airway oedema and inflammation.^{19,21} While measurements of peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) in patients with acute severe asthma may be challenging, they should be obtained on all patients capable of performing this manoeuvre.

However, caution is warranted since the deep inspiratory manoeuvre involved in PEF_R and FEV₁ may precipitate worsening bronchospasm and even respiratory failure.⁴⁰

The variability of peak flows is directly associated with severity of airway obstruction and has been identified as a prognostic marker in patients with acute severe asthma.^{40,42} In the urgent care setting, to classify the severity of exacerbation and to determine the clinical course, an expert panel chose the cut off point for PEF_R to be <40% predicted (or personal best). It has been shown that obtaining an FEV₁ or PEF_R at baseline, with repeated measurements every hour following treatment, to be the single most influential predictor of hospitalisation in adults who present to the ED with acute severe asthma.⁴⁰ If the patient presents with an FEV₁ or PEF_R <25% of predicted and has <10% reversibility after therapy, they should be considered for ICU admission.⁴⁰ A PEF_R greater than 50L/min above baseline and a FEV₁ greater than 50% of predicted measured 30 minutes after initiation of treatment have been associated with excellent prognosis in patients with acute severe asthma.⁴³ Some patients with acute severe asthma have a marked diurnal variations in PEF_R and may develop sudden severe acute attacks, typically early in the morning (*'morning dippers'*).¹⁹

Blood gas analysis

Arterial blood gases may be useful in guiding the management of patients with asthma exacerbations; however, they are not a predictor of outcome. The most common abnormality seen in the early stages of acute severe asthma is hypocapnia and mild hypoxaemia. The transition from hypocapnia to normocapnia during an acute severe asthma exacerbation is very concerning and should be considered an important sign of severe clinical deterioration and an indication of patient exhaustion.¹⁹ Transient paradoxical deterioration of gas exchange, while PEF_R improves is not uncommon after β_2 agonist administration.⁴⁰ The presence of hyperventilation during treatment of acute severe asthma may be a manifestation of metabolic acidosis with hyperventilation rather than worsening airway obstruction and denotes impending respiratory arrest. Use of β_2 agonists in

the acute setting can cause lactic acidosis, which often leads to hyperventilation. This apparent increased work of breathing may be misinterpreted by the clinician as lack of response to the bronchodilator and often result in inappropriate intensification of bronchodilator therapy.⁴⁴

Blood counts and drug monitoring

Blood counts are only indicated if patients are febrile and/or present with purulent sputum in order to rule out an infection. Leukocytosis, however, is not uncommon in acute severe asthma and corticosteroids may increase the neutrophil count as a consequence of demargination. Plasma theophylline levels are mandatory in patients being treated with theophylline due to its very narrow therapeutic window and high toxicity, which may lead to cardiac arrhythmias and seizures.⁴⁵

Chest imaging studies

While it is a valuable tool to exclude acute severe complications, obtaining and interpreting a chest radiograph should never delay initiation of treatment. Despite the prevalence of dynamic hyperinflation in acute severe asthma, chest radiographs in these patients vary from normal, hyperinflated or hypoinflated. In cases of pulmonary hypoinflation it is important to consider upper airway obstruction as a possibility. Although rare, hypoinflation on chest radiographs has been shown revealing pneumomediastinum and percutaneous emphysema in asthmatics.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ In fact, lung hypoinflation has been associated with a greater likelihood of hospital admission in children aged 6 years or older, and therefore, it should be considered a poor prognostic sign that may warrant more aggressive therapy.⁴⁹ High-resolution computed tomography (CT) scan may demonstrate prominent centrilobular structures in 70% of patients with moderate-to-severe asthma.^{50,51} Although chest imaging may help in identifying the underlying problem and provide information regarding asthma severity, it is not routinely recommended. The costs and risks may outweigh the benefits especially if CT scans are routinely ordered for the same patient due to radiation exposure.⁵²

Treatment

The management of acute severe asthma should start by determining the best clinical area to treat the patient. Since the most important prognostic factor in acute severe asthma appears to be the response to therapy,⁵³ the first four to six hours of treatment in the ED are critical to evaluate the disposition of patients.^{53,54} In about 60–70% of patients, response to the initial three doses of β_2 -agonists in the ED within the first two hours that will be sufficient to discharge them.⁴⁰ Most patients have a significant response after the first dose.⁴⁰ The remaining 30–40% of patients undergo a refractory period that usually requires an additional 24-hour observation or hospital admission.^{40,55} Recognition of factors will aid the clinician making the decision to admit the patient to the medical ward or to the ICU. Patients with a pretreatment PEFR or FEV₁ < 25% or < 40% predicted after two hours of continuous nebulisation with β_2 agonists in the ED should be considered for admission to the general medical ward while those with an improvement in PEFR or FEV₁ < 10–20 % or with persistent hypercapnia, tachypnea, or altered mental status should be admitted to the ICU. In addition, the presence of respiratory failure and imminent exhaustion, a lack of clinical benefit after continuous nebulisation of short-acting β_2 agonists, the need for intravenous administration of salbutamol and/or the need for mechanical ventilation will require management in the ICU.^{6,56–60} *Table 2* lists some of the criteria used to determine hospitalisation *vs* ICU admission.

Pharmacologic Therapy

The cornerstone of management of acute severe asthma is the administration of short-acting

β_2 agonists (SABAs), systemic corticosteroids, and maintenance of effective oxygenation and ventilation. Magnesium sulfate, heliox, subcutaneous β_2 -agonists, and theophylline may be useful in refractory cases. Other emerging therapies that warrant further investigation include inhaled corticosteroids, leukotriene antagonists, long-acting beta-agonists, and anti-IgE therapy.

Oxygen

Oxygen therapy should be titrated against pulse oximetry. Most asthma exacerbations are not associated with significant hypoxaemia.⁴⁰ However, the use of nasal cannula or mask is recommended to maintain SaO₂ > 90% (95% in children), and > 92% in patients with coronary artery disease and pregnant women.

Short-acting bronchodilators

The leading therapeutic intervention for acute severe asthma is the administration of aerosolised short-acting bronchodilators.⁶¹ Administration of SABAs and anticholinergics are widely recognised as the first-line pharmacologic agents for an acute event due to their quick onset of action. Inhaled therapy with SABAs appears to be equal to or better than intravenous infusion for treating airway obstruction in patients with severe asthma.^{62,63} The most frequently administered SABA is salbutamol. The use of its S-isomer, levosalbutamol, initially suggested for its role in preventing salbutamol-induced tachycardia and tachyarrhythmias is very controversial and appears not very well evidence-based.^{63,64} Furthermore, despite preclinical studies suggesting a theoretical clinical benefit, any therapeutic advantage of levosalbutamol over

Table 2. Important considerations for hospitalisation *vs* ICU admission.

Hospitalisation	Intensive care unit admission
Duration and severity of symptoms	Drowsy or confused
Severity of airflow obstruction	Paradoxical thoracoabdominal movement
Course and severity of prior exacerbations	Absence of wheeze
Medication use at the time of exacerbation	Bradycardia
Access to medical care and medications	Absence of pulsus paradoxus
Adequacy of support and home conditions	PEFR < 25%
Presence of psychiatric illness	SaO ₂ < 90%

salbutamol on pulmonary function and length of stay is still debated and requires further research.⁶⁵

Administration *via* pressurised metered dose inhaler (pMDI) with spacer provides equivalent efficacy compared to nebulised treatments.^{66,67} Seventy percent of the patients respond to 4–8 puffs every 10 minutes or between 5.0 and 7.5 mg of nebulised salbutamol. However, an acutely short of breath and anxious patient may not be capable of properly performing the specific actuation technique required to effectively administer medication *via* pMDI. For this reason and perhaps due to the nature of the existing clinical data, nebulisation either intermittently or continuously is the predominant method of administration in many emergency departments. Despite literature analysing the efficacy of continuous *versus* intermittent nebulised administration, data from these studies continues to be somewhat inconsistent.⁶⁸ Earlier studies have shown that continuous nebulisation reduces hospital admissions and improves lung function,^{68–70} especially in the most severe cases of asthma exacerbations while some randomised controlled trials show no significant difference between the two methods.⁷¹ The conflicting nature of these findings suggest that until further research demonstrates superiority of one type of administration over the other, a reasonable approach would be to use whichever method is deemed most patient-appropriate and achieves the best clinical response with the fewest adverse side-effects. In patients who are refractory to aggressive β_2 -agonist therapy, the presence of salbutamol-induced hyperlactic acidosis needs to be considered. Tapering and supportive care are recommended.^{72–75}

While there is controversy on the ability of anticholinergic agents to offer significant additional bronchodilation, recent guidelines recommend that repetitive doses of ipratropium bromide (0.5 mg nebuliser solution or 8 puffs by MDI in adults; 0.25–0.5 mg nebuliser solution or 4–8 puffs by MDI in children) be added to a selective β_2 -agonist since this combination has been associated with fewer hospital admissions in patients with acute severe asthma or those with a poor initial response to SABA therapy.^{40,76–78} In patients with FEV₁ less

than 50% predicted, the addition of ipratropium bromide to SABAs has shown to be more beneficial with improvements in PEF_R, FEV₁, and a decrease in the risk of hospital admission when compared to SABAs alone.⁷⁹ While this benefit may persist for up to 48 hours, it is suggested that short-acting anticholinergic therapy be continued only until the patient stabilises, and should not be added to the patient's chronic asthma management regimen or post-stabilisation.⁴⁰

Systemic epinephrine and terbutaline

Subcutaneous epinephrine or terbutaline should be considered in patients unresponsive to continuous nebulised SABAs, and in patients unable to cooperate due to alteration of mental status or an inability to tolerate inhaled therapy.⁸⁰ In intubated patients refractory to inhaled therapy during mechanical ventilation, subcutaneous epinephrine, 0.3–0.5 ml (1:1000), can be administered every 20 minutes to a maximum of three doses. Subcutaneous terbutaline, 0.25 – 0.5 mg, may be considered as an alternative. Subcutaneous administration of epinephrine or terbutaline is well tolerated even in older patients as long as they have no history of coronary artery disease.^{81–82} Intravenous infusion of terbutaline, 0.25 mg every 20 minutes for a maximum of three doses in adults and 0.01 mg/kg every 20 minutes for three doses in children then every 2–6 hours as needed, has been utilised predominantly in patients who are unresponsive to inhaled or subcutaneous treatment.⁸³ Intravenous terbutaline may be considered in patients with acute severe asthma in whom respiratory arrest is imminent, or in patients not adequately ventilated despite optimal ventilator management. However, a randomised control trial evaluating the benefit of intravenous terbutaline *versus* normal saline in nonventilated children, with acute severe asthma being treated with continuous nebulised salbutamol, did not show statistically significant differences in the amount of time spent on continuous nebulisers, length of hospital stay, or clinical asthma severity scores.⁸⁴ The most recent Cochrane meta-analysis did not identify significant benefits for adults with severe acute asthma.⁸⁵

Corticosteroids

Corticosteroids have been shown to reduce airway inflammation, increase the number and sensitivity of β_2 receptors, and inhibit the migration and function of eosinophils.^{86,87} Systemic corticosteroids should be administered to all asthmatics who do not respond to SABA therapy, indicated by a peak flow or FEV₁ greater than or equal to 80% predicted after one hour of treatment.^{40,88} Their use as monotherapy, however, for the treatment of acute severe asthma has been considered unacceptable. Since benefits from corticosteroid treatment are not usually seen for 6 to 24 hours after administration, therapy should be instituted early. Administration within one hour of patient presentation has proven to reduce the rate of hospitalisation and improve pulmonary function.^{89,90} For this reason, corticosteroids are recommended for all severity categories of asthmatic exacerbations in the ED, with variation only in the route of administration.

Several studies have documented the efficacy of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) in comparison to systemic administration in the acute setting.^{40,91,92} However, although promising, their use is not fully evidence-based and therefore not recommended over the more traditional and accepted systemic administration. Large doses of ICS (flunisolide 6 mg over 3 hours or fluticasone propionate 3 mg/hour for 3 hours) administered in the ED, in addition to SABAs, have shown to accelerate the rate of recovery of the acute bronchospasm.⁹³⁻⁹⁴ Additional research is also required to consider the potential benefit of mixing nebulised ICS and bronchodilators. Furthermore, there is insufficient evidence that when used in addition to systemic corticosteroids, ICS lead to clinically important changes in pulmonary function or clinical scores. Therefore, until further evidence is available, ICS should not be used in place of systemic corticosteroid therapy when treating severe acute asthma.^{93,95-98} Despite the reduction of unwanted systemic side-effects, local adverse effects such as oropharyngeal candidiasis are possible.

In an attempt to avoid systemic side-effects from long durations of oral corticosteroid use in asthmatics post-exacerbation, research has evaluated the efficacy of dexamethasone *versus* prednisone.

Because dexamethasone has a longer half-life than prednisone, lower dosages (0.3-0.6 mg/kg daily for 1-5 days in children - 16 mg daily for 2 days for adults) have been shown to be as effective as higher doses of prednisone (1-2 mg/kg daily for 5 days in children - 50 mg daily for 5 days in adults).⁹⁹ Evidence has also shown that dexamethasone may have an increase in patient compliance and may require shorter durations of therapy without need for tapering.^{99,100}

Methylxanthines

The use of other agents such as methylxanthines in acute severe asthma remains controversial. Plasma theophylline levels are mandatory in patients being treated with theophylline due to its very narrow therapeutic window and high toxicity, which may lead to cardiac arrhythmias and seizures. In addition, the benefit of methylxanthines as add-on treatment in adults with severe asthma exacerbations has not been demonstrated.^{101,102} The British Thoracic Society/Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network British Guideline on the Management of Asthma suggests that, in acute asthma, intravenous aminophylline is not likely to result in any additional bronchodilation compared with inhaled bronchodilators and corticosteroids.⁴⁵ Children with severe acute asthma however may show a more rapid improvement in their clinical asthma scores when theophylline is used in combination with SABAs, systemic corticosteroids, and anticholinergics. In children with a severe asthma exacerbation, the addition of intravenous aminophylline to SABAs and systemic corticosteroids improves lung function within 6 hours of treatment. However there is no apparent reduction in symptoms, number of nebulised treatment and length of hospital stay.¹⁰³

Leukotriene modulators

Leukotriene modulators may play an important role in the treatment of acute severe asthma since they complement the anti-inflammatory effects of systemic corticosteroids. Leukotriene agonists such as montelukast offer bronchodilation and anti-inflammatory effects and have shown to result in improvements in FEV₁ and reduced dyspnoea additive to the effect of bronchodilators.¹⁰⁴ While

oral montelukast is rapidly absorbed and has few side-effects, it typically takes between sixty to ninety minutes to provide benefit. A single intravenous dose of montelukast has shown to provide significant improvement in pulmonary function within ten minutes of administration and patients tended to require fewer SABAs and have a lower incidence of treatment failures.^{105,106} In a study by Silverman *et al*, the addition of zafirlukast was associated with a reduction in the rate of hospitalisation of patients with acute severe asthma.¹⁰⁷ Although there is insufficient evidence to recommend routine use of leukotriene modulators in acute severe asthma, a 2007 Expert Panel Report 3: Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma suggests that intravenous administration of these agents could be considered as an adjunct therapy to avoid intubation.⁴⁰

Magnesium sulfate

Intravenous administration of magnesium sulfate (IV MgSO₄) has been considered as an adjunct therapy for severe asthma exacerbations due to the significant improvements observed on PEF_R and FEV₁ after its administration.¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹⁴ While it has an excellent safety profile, if appropriately administered, there are minor adverse effects such as flushing, headache, and burning sensation at the IV site.

Despite the many uncertainties surrounding the use of IV MgSO₄, the 2007 Expert Panel Report 3: Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma recommends consideration of intravenous magnesium sulfate in patients who have acute severe asthma and do not respond after one hour of intensive conventional therapy.⁴⁰ Currently, the recommended dose for IV MgSO₄ is 2.0 g intravenously over twenty minutes in adults and 25-75 mg/Kg up to 2 grams in children.⁴⁰ The 2012 Global Initiative for Asthma report recommends IV MgSO₄ in adults with severe airway obstruction who do not respond promptly to bronchodilators, including those with FEV₁ values 25-30% of predicted at presentation.¹¹⁵ Nebulised MgSO₄ in addition to SABAs appears to improve pulmonary function, especially in more severe asthma exacerbations.¹¹⁶

Heliox

Heliox, a gas of very low density, decreases turbulent flow generated by the passage of air through constricted airways, but does not possess inherent bronchodilatory or anti-inflammatory effect.¹¹⁷ It is therefore another controversial method of treatment for acute severe asthma. Although a randomised control trial failed to demonstrate sustained improvement in pulmonary function testing or hospital admissions,¹¹⁸ some studies and case reports have shown that heliox administration improves ventilation and aerosol particle deposition to distal airways,¹¹⁹⁻¹²² decreased pulsus paradoxus, work of breathing, decreased peak airway pressures and PCO₂ in intubated patients have also been noted.^{123,124} It is currently recommended to consider heliox for severe acute asthma when it does not resolve after 1 hour of intensive conventional therapy.⁴⁰ An 80:20 mixture delivered *via* nonrebreather mask has shown significant improvements in pulmonary functions over the first three hours of treatment.¹¹⁸ Complications and adverse effects of heliox administration are minimal and to date the primary concern appears to be consideration of the potential for hypoxia for those patients requiring a higher FIO₂ than what is available in the standard 70/30 or 80/20 heliox mixtures.

Considering the limited evidence in regard to heliox administration, its primary role appears to be that of a temporary agent, which allows traditional interventions to reach their maximal effect and as such, is generally relegated to adjunctive status in current guidelines.¹²⁵

Noninvasive Ventilation

The role of noninvasive ventilation (NIV) in acute severe asthma is not clearly defined. However, it appears to reduce the rate of intubation, shorten ICU and hospital stay,¹²⁶ decrease inhaled bronchodilator use, and improve CO₂ clearance.¹²⁷⁻¹²⁹ A recent retrospective study evaluated possible benefits of bilevel positive airway pressure in conjunction with SABA therapy in the treatment of eighty-three paediatric patients with severe acute asthma who were refractory to conventional medical therapy.¹³⁰ The use of bilevel positive airway pressure

ventilation resulted in an 88% patient tolerance, a 22% reduction of admissions to the PICU, and a reduction of respiratory rate and improvement in oxygen saturations in 77% and 88% of the subjects, respectively. Despite the paucity of data supporting the use of NIV, the most recent Cochrane meta-analysis revealed that NIV was associated with a reduction of risk for endotracheal intubation (RR 4.48; 95% CI 0.23-89.13) and reduced need for hospitalisation (RR 0.28, 95% CI 0.09-0.84).¹³¹

Invasive ventilation

The main objective of the initial ventilator management is to ensure adequate gas exchange and to prevent further hyperinflation and ventilator-associated lung injury. Although intubation and mechanical ventilation should be considered for patients with progressive deterioration despite aggressive treatment, there are no randomised controlled trials identifying the best mode to use in status asthmaticus. Intubation should be considered when signs of acute deterioration such as exhaustion and fatigue despite maximal therapy, altered mental status, refractory hypoxaemia, increasing hypercapnia, haemodynamic instability, or apnoea are present.¹³² Mechanical ventilation of patients with acute severe asthma is challenging and not without complications. Benzodiazepines can be safely used for sedation of the asthmatic patient, but time to awakening after discontinuation should be considered. For patients with acute severe asthma for whom extubation is anticipated within a few hours, one of the most commonly used agents is propofol. In addition to having bronchodilatory properties, propofol can be easily titrated to a deep sedation level and has rapid reversal after discontinuation. The addition of an opioid (fentanyl or remifentanyl) administered by continuous infusion to benzodiazepines or propofol is recommended in order to provide sedation, amnesia, analgesia and respiratory drive suppression. Morphine can cause histamine release that can lead to hypotension and bronchoconstriction. Ketamine also is a good bronchodilator but can increase respiratory secretions.¹³³ Recently, Takasaki *et al* reported the successful use of dexmedetomidine to facilitate induction of NPPV for patients with acute severe

asthma in respiratory failure.¹³⁴ Since the concomitant use of corticosteroids and neuromuscular blocking agents is associated with acute myopathy, sedation has been advocated to be much safer than paralysis for patients with acute severe asthma.¹³⁵ A recent retrospective analysis however showed a minimal reduction of muscle weakness using heavy sedation when compared to continuous paralysis in adults with status asthmaticus.¹³⁶ In the setting of severe patient-ventilator dyssynchrony, short-term use of neuromuscular blockers (20-60 min) may still be required.

The oral route of intubation is preferred since it minimises airway resistance and maximises airway clearance. The in-hospital mortality rate for all asthmatics is between 1-5%, but for critically ill asthmatics, that require intubation, the mortality rate is between 10-25%, primarily from anoxia and cardiopulmonary arrest.¹³⁷ A recent retrospective study evaluated patients with acute severe asthma admitted to the ICU over a span of 30 years in order to reveal whether or not mechanical ventilation in itself had any potential adverse effects. Without regard to permissive hypercapnia or mode of ventilation, overall complication rates remained low; hospital stay in comparison to nonventilated patients (5.8 vs 6.8 days; $p=0.07$), overall mortality 0.4%, pneumothorax 2.5%, and pneumonia 2.9%.⁷

The specific mode and settings of ventilation should be selected carefully to avoid barotrauma, minimise dynamic hyperinflation, maintain adequate oxygenation, and allow some degree of permissive hypercapnia until bronchodilators and steroids improve airflow.¹³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Monitoring of plateau pressure (<30 cm H₂O) and intrinsic PEEP ($<10-15$ cm H₂O) is critical since they are considered surrogate indices of dynamic hyperinflation and are agreed upon by most clinicians as reciprocals to better patient outcomes.¹⁴¹ Volume-limited ventilation may be preferred for patients with frequent changes in airway resistance to avoid fluctuation in tidal volumes that increase the risk for hypoventilation.¹⁴² Current recommendations suggest adjusting ventilator parameters to correlate a minute ventilation of 90-130 mL/kg ideal body weight and then by further adjusting respiratory

rate and tidal volume to achieve plateau pressures between 25–30 cm H₂O.¹⁴³

Although prolonging the expiratory time may facilitate CO₂ clearance and prevent additional air trapping, reduction of minute ventilation is the most critical parameter to prevent and/or reduce air trapping. Rapid respiratory rates (>15 breaths/min), large tidal volumes (>10 mL/kg), low peak inspiratory flows (<60 L/min) and short expiratory times can generate intrinsic or auto-PEEP, which has been strongly associated with patient-ventilator dyssynchrony and worse clinical outcomes.¹⁴⁴ In general, after about 4 seconds of expiration there is nominal gain in reducing hyperinflation.¹⁴⁵ The patient with auto-PEEP is forced to work against the resistance of the ventilator circuit and against his own internal impedance to flow and chest expansion. With dynamic hyperinflation, only the most vigorous efforts can trigger the ventilator, and ineffective trigger can be observed.¹⁴⁶ Ventilator-related hypotension in these patients may indicate severe air trapping and require a brief disconnection of the patient from the ventilator.^{147,148} Since the pressure and flow waveforms displayed on the ventilator monitor can alert the clinician if the patient's inspiratory effort is insufficient to trigger the ventilator, ventilator graphics may be an invaluable tool for monitoring extrinsic PEEP titration and its effects on the degree of hyperinflation, minute ventilation, CO₂ clearance, and the overall patient ventilator interaction.^{37,149–151} Its use on sedated and paralysed patients who are on controlled ventilation has been noted to adversely increase lung volumes resulting in dynamic hyperinflation.¹⁴⁴

The application of extrinsic PEEP in severe acute asthma is still a controversial issue and by several authors not recommended.^{144,152,153} In patients with dynamic collapse of the airways such as emphysema, the addition of extrinsic PEEP can counterbalance the auto-PEEP without affecting expiratory flow.¹⁵⁴ However, the relative stiffness of the asthmatic airways caused by inflammation causes resistance to dynamic collapse. This may increase backpressure to expiratory flow when extrinsic PEEP is applied and result in more hyperinflation.¹⁵⁵ Previous reports have revealed that when applying extrinsic PEEP

to asthmatics three different outcomes occurred; a paradoxical response with a decrease in lung volumes, a biphasic response in which no change occurred until applied PEEP reached 80% of the patient's auto-PEEP, and an overinflation response where an increase in air trapping was directly related to an increase in PEEP.^{156,157} A study conducted by Caramenz *et al* has suggested that physiology in the asthmatic may be more variable and some patients respond to PEEP with increased air trapping, some with no change in lung volume, and some with a paradoxical decrease in lung volume.¹⁵⁸ Whether the clinician ultimately decides to use PEEP or not, every attempt should be made to optimise tidal volume, respiratory rate, and the inspiratory to expiratory ratio before considering extrinsic PEEP as a magic bullet in acute severe asthma.

Permissive hypercapnia, or controlled hypoventilation, on the other hand should be considered in all patients with acute severe asthma to reduce the risk of severe dynamic hyperinflation and barotrauma.¹⁵⁹ Permissive hypercapnia is a lung protective strategy that involves maintaining peak airway pressures < 50 cm H₂O irrespective to PaCO₂ levels, delivering the lowest FIO₂ required to maintain adequate PaO₂ levels, and treating acidosis with sodium bicarbonate in order to limit over ventilation.¹⁶⁰ Permissive hypercapnia can be achieved by decreasing the respiratory rate, tidal volume, and/or simply by allowing the PaCO₂ levels to rise, which has been reported to be well tolerated even with PaCO₂ values as high as 90 mm Hg in critically ill patients.³⁸ Caution should be used when initiating permissive hypercapnia in patients with intracranial hypertension as cerebral blood flow reaches its maximum threshold at PaCO₂ levels of approximately 120 mm Hg, which may increase intracranial pressures and further complicate preexisting intracranial hypertension (head trauma, severe hypertension, and space-occupying lesions).¹⁶¹

Biologically variable ventilation (BVV) is a mode of mechanical ventilation that emulates healthy variation. Unlike conventional ventilation, which has a set tidal volume and respiratory rate, BVV is initiated by delivering a set minute volume while a microprocessor randomly generates varying

respiratory rates and corresponding tidal volumes in order to imitate natural breathing. When compared to conventional ventilation in an animal model of bronchospasm, BVV was associated with improved gas exchange, lower peak inspiratory pressures, a greater static and dynamic compliance and lower total respiratory system resistance.¹⁶² Although the majority of studies have been performed on animals, a recent pilot study by Kowalski *et al* revealed when implementing BVV on patients with acute lung injury, that they demonstrated improved oxygen indices, lung compliance, and decreased dead space to tidal volume ratios.¹⁶³ Further human trials using BVV on asthmatic patients need to be completed before recommendation can be made.

Intrapulmonary Percussive Ventilation

Mechanical ventilation merely attempts to stabilise the patient until the bronchodilators and corticosteroids begin to take effect. Airway obstruction is also related to increased secretory production due in large proportion to goblet cell hyperplasia and submucosal gland hypertrophy. Mucus in the small airways cannot be typically cleared by cough and has a tendency to accumulate leading to worsening of airway obstruction. Postmortem examination of patients with fatal asthma often reveals extensive mucus plugging of the airways. Therefore, airway clearance techniques may have to be considered for some patients. Intrapulmonary percussive ventilation (IPV) is a modality of treatment designed to improve secretion clearance¹⁶⁴ and improve gas exchange in patients with obstructive lung pathology.¹⁶⁵ Cephalad displacement of airway secretions by bursts of gas can help in the mobilisation of mucus and enhance the effect of air-liquid interaction on mucus movement created by expiratory flow bias from the use of IPV.¹⁶⁶ A recent computed tomographic evaluation of the acute effects of IPV in patients with COPD revealed changes in the airway patency even after a single IPV treatment compared with before treatment.¹⁶⁷ Although this positive effect could be extrapolated to patients with airway obstruction such as those with severe asthma, the use of IPV merits further study in status asthmaticus in a randomised fashion.

Inhaled anaesthetics

Inhaled anaesthetics have only been used sporadically for the treatment of patients with acute severe asthma to avoid bronchoconstriction and to induce bronchodilation. Publications on the use of inhalational anaesthetics in acute severe asthma have been limited to case reports for a relatively small number of asthmatic patients who required mechanical ventilation.^{168,169} Inhalational anaesthetics may cause a decrease in airway pressures and improvement in blood gases.¹⁷⁰⁻¹⁷² A recent update on the anaesthetic approach for the asthmatic patient recommends regional over general anaesthesia, if feasible, to reduce airway irritation and post-anaesthesia complications.¹⁷³ However, when general anaesthesia is chosen over regional, it is suggested that a laryngeal mask airway be chosen over endotracheal intubation. Lidocaine inhalation, via nebuliser, has been shown to reduce histamine-induced bronchoconstriction.¹⁷⁴ Ketamine may decrease the risk of bronchospasm. Certain nondepolarising neuromuscular blocking agents such as vecuronium, rocuronium, cisatracurium, and pancuronium do not induce bronchospasm; however, rapacuronium, an ultra-short acting non-depolarising agent, has been shown to cause bronchoconstriction and death.¹⁷⁵ Both atracurium and mivacurium have been shown to induce the release of histamine in a dose-dependent fashion.¹⁷⁶

Extracorporeal Life Support

Extracorporeal life support could provide adjunctive pulmonary support for intubated asthmatic patients who remain severely acidotic and hypercapnic in spite of aggressive conventional therapy and unconventional therapies, including inhaled anaesthetics.¹⁷⁷

Most of the previously described treatments are considered adjunctive to traditional interventions and are generally limited to physicians and emergency centres familiar and comfortable with their administration. Although they cannot be routinely recommended for treating acute severe asthma, they should be considered as a means of possibly avoiding intubation, which has a significant

impact on mortality rates and ICU and hospital length of stay for asthmatic patients.

Prognosis and Post-Acute Follow Up

Mortality rate of patients with acute severe asthma has decreased significantly in recent years. However, nearly 10 to 30% of patients with acute severe asthma may still require positive pressure ventilation and mortality could be as high as 22%.¹⁷⁸

Design and implementation of an asthma action plan is a critical element of the post-acute care.¹⁷⁹ Asthma triggers should be adequately identified and controlled. Patients and their families must receive education on how to use aerosol devices and peak flow meters. Asthma educators and physicians should put in place a mechanism to monitor adherence to therapy and use of short-acting bronchodilators to reduce frequency of exacerbations and emergency department, hospital, or ICU admissions.¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸²

Asthmatics should also be aware of environmental triggers that may worsen their asthma symptoms, which include allergens, infections, occupational sensitizers, tobacco smoke (passive and active smoking), outdoor/indoor air pollution, and/or diet.¹⁸³ One of the best approaches for an asthmatic to alleviate their symptoms and help prevent exacerbations is to follow an asthma action plan.^{40,184} This plan enables the patient to monitor and help control their asthma outside of the clinical setting once they have been discharged from the hospital. An asthma action plan is a tool that is designed for each individual patient that contains PEF monitoring (green zone; doing well if >80% of best, yellow zone; asthma is getting worse if >50-79% of best, and red zone; medical alert if <50% of best), list of prescribed maintenance and emergency medications, dosages of medications, and when to take these medications.⁴⁰ Bad asthma control has been linked to inhaler incompetence due to improper inhaler technique in an average of 50% of the patients (14-90%).¹⁸⁵ Recent studies have linked lack of and/or neglected inhaler education by physicians to patient incompetence in the use of inhalers. In one study, only 5% of medical interns knew how to properly use a pMDI.¹⁸⁶

Omalizumab, a recombinant DNA-derived humanised IgG1 monoclonal antibody that selectively binds to free and membrane-bound immunoglobulin E (IgE) antibodies, has been recently evaluated in patients with severe uncontrolled allergic asthma. Several studies have shown a significant decrease in the risk of severe asthma exacerbations requiring emergency department visits or hospitalisations, and oral intake of corticosteroids.¹⁸⁷⁻¹⁸⁸

Bronchial thermoplasty is a new bronchoscopic procedure approved by the FDA in 2010 that reduces excess airway smooth muscle by applying controlled thermal energy to the airway walls. In patients with severe refractory asthma this therapy has been associated with a significant reduction in hospitalisations and emergency department visits for respiratory symptoms and improvement in the quality of life.¹⁸⁹⁻¹⁹¹

Conclusion

While current pharmacotherapy is typically effective, acute severe asthma continues to be challenging to clinicians. Early detection of high-risk patients, adequate outpatient therapy, and extremely close observation of these patients in the ED may decrease the incidence of fatalities. A clear understanding of the acute asthmatic event may shed some light to the best therapy and the prevention of life-threatening events. Clinical outcomes in this group of patients with severe asthma in the post-acute phase can be dramatically improved if preventive measures are in place. Adequate identification and management of triggers, implementation of a rigorous asthma action plan, monitoring for good adherence to inhaled anti-inflammatory therapy, regular peak flow monitoring, and close medical follow-up decrease the number of exacerbations and reduces the risk for life-threatening events.

References

1. Masoli M, Fabian D, Holt S, Beasley R. The global burden of asthma; executive summary of the GINA Dissemination Committee report. *Allergy* 2004;**59**:469-78.
2. Beasley R. the Global Burden of Asthma Report, Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA).

- Available at <http://www.ginasthma.org> 2004. Accessed August 22, 2013.
- Braman SS. The global burden of asthma. *Chest* 2006;**130**:4S-12S.
 - McFadden ER Jr. Acute severe asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003;**168**:740-59.
 - National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). Managing Exacerbations of Asthma. NIH Publication No.: 07-4051. 2007. Available at: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/asthma/11_sec5_exacerb.pdf. Accessed July 17, 2013.
 - Fernandez Nievas IF, Anand KJS. Severe acute asthma exacerbation in children: A stepwise approach for escalating therapy in a pediatric intensive care unit. *J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther* 2013;**18**:88-104.
 - Peters JI, Stupka JE, Singh H, Rossrucker J, Angel LF, et al. Status asthmaticus in the medical intensive care unit: A 30-year experience. *Respir Med* 2012;**106**:344-8.
 - Romagnoli M, Caramori G, Braccioni F, Ravenna F, Barreiro E, Siafakas NM, et al and the ENFUMOSA Study Group. Near-fatal asthma phenotype in the ENFUMOSA Cohort. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2007;**37**:552-7.
 - Picado C. Classification of severe asthma exacerbations: a proposal. *Eur Respir J* 1996;**9**:1775-8.
 - Friedlander AL. Fatal and near-fatal asthma: phenotypes, precipitating factors, and management. *The Respiratory Report* 2007;**3**:22-33.
 - Krishnan V, Diette GB, Rand CS, Bilderback AL, Merriman B, Hansel NN, et al. Mortality in patients hospitalized for asthma exacerbations in the United States. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006;**174**:633-8.
 - Benatar SR. Fatal asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1986;**314**:423-9.
 - Lavorini F, Levy ML, Corrigan C, Crompton G. The ADMIT series - issues in inhalation therapy. Training tools for inhalation devices. *Prim Care Respir J* 2010;**19**:335-41.
 - Moore WC, Meyers DA, Wenzel SE, Teague WG, et al. Identification of Asthma Phenotypes Using Cluster Analysis in the Severe Asthma Research Program. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;**181**:315-22.
 - Rodrigo GJ, Rodrigo C, Nannini LJ. Fatal or near-fatal asthma: clinical entity or incorrect management? *Arch Bronconeumol* 2004;**40**:24-33.
 - Molfini NA, Nannini LJ, Martelli AN, Slutsky AS. Respiratory arrest in near-fatal asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1991;**324**:285-8.
 - Roe PF. Sudden death in asthma. *Brit J Dis Chest* 1965;**59**:158-163.
 - Corren J. Asthma Phenotypes and Endotypes: An Evolving Paradigm for Classification. *Discov Med* 2013;**15**:243-9.
 - Sur S, Crotty TB, Kephart GM, Hyma BA, Colby TV, Reed CE, et al. Sudden-onset fatal asthma. A distinct entity with few eosinophils and relatively more neutrophils in the airway submucosa? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1993;**148**:713-9.
 - Lockey RF, Kiley JP, et al. Asthma Phenotypes Task Force Goals and Objectives. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology. American Thoracic Society. European Respiratory Society. Available at: <http://www.worldallergy.org/UserFiles/file/NHLBI%20Asthma%20Phenotypes-Lockey.pdf>. Accessed August 14, 2013.
 - Wasserfallen J-B, Schaller M-D, Feihl F, Perret CH. Sudden asphyxic asthma: a distinct entity? *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1990;**142**:108-1.
 - Jones L, Goodacre S. Magnesium sulfate in the treatment of acute asthma: Evaluation of current practice in adult emergency departments. *Emerg Med J* 2009;**26**:783-5.
 - Lugogo N, MacIntyre N. Life threatening asthma: Pathophysiology and management. *Respir Care* 2008; **53**:726-39.
 - Braman SS. The global burden of asthma. *Chest* 2006;**130**(1 Suppl):4S-12S.
 - McFadden ER Jr. Fatal and near-fatal asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1991;**324**:409-11.
 - Roe PF. Sudden death in asthma. *Brit J Dis Chest* 1965;**59**:158-63.

27. Rodrigo C. Severe acute asthma: its management in emergency visits and intensive care. *Med Intensiva* 2006;**30**:460-70.
28. Gelb AF, Schein A, Nussbaum E, Shinar CM, Aelony Y, Aharonian H, *et al*. Risk factors for near-fatal asthma. *Chest* 2004;**126**:1138-46.
29. Alvarez GG, Schulzer M, Jung D, Fitzgerald JM. A systematic review of risk factors associated with near-fatal and fatal asthma. *Can Respir J* 2005;**12**:265-70.
30. Robinson DS, Campbell DA, Durham SR, Pfeffer J, Barnes PJ, Chung KF, *et al*. Systematic assessment of difficult-to-treat asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2003;**22**:478-83.
31. Serrano J, Plaza V, Sureda B, de Pablo J, Picado C, Bardagi S, *et al*. Alexithymia: a relevant psychological variable in near-fatal asthma. *Eur Respir J* 2006;**28**:296-302.
32. Reck CL, Fiterman-Molinari D, Barreto SS, Et-al. Poor perception of dyspnea following methacholine challenge test in patients with asthma. *J Bras Pneumol* 2010;**36**:539-44.
33. Magadle R, Berar-Yanay N, Weiner P. The risk of hospitalization and near-fatal and fatal asthma in relation to the perception of dyspnea. *Chest* 2002;**121**:329-33.
34. Collins JE, Gill TK, Chittleborough CR, Martin AJ, Taylor AW, Winefield H. Mental, emotional, and social problems among school children with asthma. *J Asthma* 2008;**45**:489-93.
35. Ritz T, Steptoe A, Dewilde S, Costa M. Emotions and stress increase respiratory resistance in asthma. *Psychosom Med* 2000;**62**:401-12.
36. Álvarez Puebla MJ, García Río F. Physiology and physiopathology of the distal airways in asthma. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2011;**47**:10-6.
37. Blanch L, Bernabé F, Lucangelo U. Measurement of air trapping, intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure, and dynamic hyperinflation in mechanically ventilated patients. *Respir Care* 2005;**50**:1101-23.
38. Rodriguez-Roisin R. Acute severe asthma: pathophysiology and pathobiology of gas exchange abnormalities. *Eur Respir J* 1997;**10**:1359-71.
39. Raimondi GA, Gonzalez S, Zaltsman J, Adrogué HJ. Acid-Base Patterns in Acute Severe Asthma. *J Asthma* 2013;**50**:1062-8.
40. US Department of Health and Human Services. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert Panel report 3: guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma. Summary report 2007. NIH Publication no.: 08-5846 [online]. Available at: <http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/asthma/asthsumm.pdf>. Accessed August 26, 2013.
41. Lemarchand P, Labrune S, Herer B, Huchon GJ. Cardiorespiratory arrest following peak expiratory flow measurement during attack of asthma. *Chest* 1991;**100**:1168-9.
42. Hetzel MR, Clark TJH, Branthwaite MA. Asthma: analysis of sudden deaths and ventilatory arrests in hospital. *Br Med J* 1977;**1**:808-11.
43. Morjaria JB, Polosa R. Recommendations for optimal management of severe refractory asthma. *J Asthma Allergy* 2010;**3**:43-56.
44. Meert KL, Clark J, Sarnaik AP. Metabolic acidosis as an underlying mechanism of respiratory distress in children with severe acute asthma. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2007;**8**:519-23.
45. Barnes PJ. Theophylline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;**188**:901-996.
46. White CS, Cole RP, Lubetsky HW, Austin JH. Acute asthma: admission chest radiography in hospitalized adult patients. *Chest* 1991;**100**:14-6.
47. Khalid MS, Ahmad N, Moin S, El-Faedy O, Gaffney P. Spontaneous pneumomediastinum: a rare complication of acute asthma. *Ir J Med Sci* 2008;**177**:393-6.
48. Faruqi S, Varma R, Greenstone MA, Kastelik JA. Spontaneous pneumomediastinum: a rare complication of bronchial asthma. *J Asthma* 2009;**46**:969-71.
49. Spottswood SE, Allison KZ, Lopatina OA, Sethi NN, Narla LD, *et al*. The clinical significance of lung hypoexpansion in acute childhood asthma. *Pediatr Radiol* 2004;**34**:322-5.
50. Lee YM, Park JS, Hwang JH, Park SW, Uh ST, Kim YH, *et al*. High-resolution CT findings in patients with near-fatal asthma. Comparison of patients with mild-to-severe asthma and normal control subjects and changes in airway

- abnormalities following steroid treatment. *Chest* 2004;**126**:1840–8.
51. Hyung KY, Kyung LY, Yoo JH. Changes in CT scan-estimated airway dimensions during an acute exacerbation of asthma. *Intern Med* 2013;**52**:679–83.
 52. Shah KH, Slovis BH, Runde D, *et al*. Radiation exposure among patient with the highest CT scan utilization in the emergency department. *Emerg Radiol* 2013;**20**:485–91.
 53. Fadden ER, Elsanadi N, Dixon L, Takacs M, Deal EC, Boyd KK, *et al*. Protocol therapy for acute asthma: therapeutics benefits and cost savings. *Am J Med* 1995;**99**: 651–61.
 54. Kelly AM, Kerr D, Powell C. Is severity assessment after one hour of treatment better for predicting the need for admission in acute asthma? *Respir Med* 2004;**98**: 777–81.
 55. Kelly AM, Powell C, Kerr D. Patients with a longer duration of symptoms of acute asthma are more likely to require admission to hospital. *Emerg Med* 2002;**14**: 142–5.
 56. Papiris SA, Manali ED, Kolilekas L, Triantafyllidou C, Tsangaris I. Acute severe asthma: New approaches to assessment and treatment. *Drugs* 2009;**69**: 2363–91.
 57. Boychuk RB, Yamamoto LG, DeMesa CJ, Kiyabu KM. Correlation of initial emergency department pulse oximetry values in asthma severity classes (steps) with the risk of hospitalization. *Am J Emerg Med* 2006;**24**:48–52.
 58. Plotnick LH, Ducharme FM. Combined inhaled anticholinergics and beta2-agonists for initial treatment of acute asthma in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000;(4):CD000060.
 59. Pollack CV Jr, Pollack ES, Baren JM, *et al*. Multicenter Airway Research Collaboration Investigators. A prospective multicenter study of patient factors associated with hospital admission from the emergency department among children with acute asthma. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2002;**156**: 934–40.
 60. Weber EJ, Silverman RA, Callahan ML, Pollack CV Jr, Woodruff PG, Clark S, Camargo CA Jr. A prospective multicenter study of factors associated with hospital admission among adult with acute asthma. *Am J Med* 2002;**113**: 371–8.
 61. Nelson KA, Zorc JJ. Management of acute asthma. *Adolesc Med State Art Rev* 2010;**21**:21–33.
 62. O'Connell MB, Iber C. Continuous intravenous terbutaline infusions for adult patients with status asthmaticus. *Ann Allergy* 1990;**64**: 213–8.
 63. Kelly A, Kennedy A, John BM, Duane B, Lemanowicz J, Little J. A comparison of heart rate changes associated with levalbuterol and racemic albuterol and in pediatric cardiology patients. *Ann Pharmacother* 2013;**47**:644–50.
 64. Khorfan FM, Smith P, Watt S, Barber KR. Effects of nebulized bronchodilator therapy on heart rate and arrhythmias in critically ill adult patients. *Chest* 2011;**140**: 1466–72.
 65. Andrews T, McGinbee E, Mittal MK, Tyler L, Chew A, Zhang X, *et al*. High-Dose Continuous Nebulized Levalbuterol for Pediatric Status Asthmaticus: A Randomized Trial. *J Pediatr* 2009;**155**: 205–10.
 66. Newhouse M. Emergency department management of life-threatening asthma: are nebulizers obsolete? *Chest* 1993;**103**: 661–3.
 67. Cates CC, Bara A, Crilly JA, Rowe BH. Holding chambers *versus* nebulisers for beta-agonist treatment of acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003;(3):CD000052.
 68. Camargo CA Jr, Spooner CH, Rowe BH. Continuous versus intermittent beta-agonists in the treatment of acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003b;(4):CD001115.
 69. Lin RY, Sauter D, Newman T, Sirleaf J, Walters J, Tavakol M. Continuous versus intermittent albuterol nebulization in the treatment of acute asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 1993;**22**:1847–53.
 70. Rudnitsky GS, Eberlein RS, Schoffstall JM, Mazur JE, Spivey WH. Comparison of intermittent and continuously nebulized albuterol for treatment of asthma in an urban emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med* 1993;**22**: 1842–6.
 71. Papo MC, Frank J, Thompson AE. A prospective, randomized study of continuous versus intermittent nebulized albuterol for severe status asthmaticus in children. *Crit Care Med* 1993;**21**: 1479–86.

72. Maury E, Ioos V, Lepecq B, Guidet B, Offenstadt G. A paradoxical effect of bronchodilators. *Chest* 1997; **111**:1766-7.
73. Liem EB, Mnookin SC, Mahla ME. Albuterol-induced lactic acidosis. *Anesthesiology* 2003; **99**: 505-6.
74. Kovacevic A, Schwahn B, Schuster A. Hyperlactic acidosis as metabolic side-effect of albuterol and theophylline in acute severe asthma. *Klin Padiatr* 2010; **222**: 271-2.
75. Claret PG, Bobbia X, Boutin C, Rougier M, de la Coussaye JE. Lactic acidosis as a complication of β -adrenergic aerosols. *Am J Emerg Med* 2012;**30**: 1319.e5-6.
76. Rodrigo GJ, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Anticholinergics in the treatment of children and adults with acute asthma: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Thorax* 2005; **60**: 740-6.
77. Plotnick LH, Ducharme FM. Combined inhaled anticholinergics and beta2-agonists for initial treatment of acute asthma in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000;**(4)**:CD000060.
78. Craven D, Kercksmar CM, Myers TR, O'Riordan MA, Golonka G, Moore S. Ipratropium bromide plus nebulized albuterol for the treatment of hospitalized children with acute asthma. *J Pediatr* 2001; **138**: 51-8.
79. Rodrigo GJ, Rodrigo C. First line therapy for adult patients with acute asthma receiving a multiple-dose protocol of ipratropium bromide plus albuterol in the emergency department. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000; **161**: 862-1868.
80. Sharma A, Madan A. Subcutaneous epinephrine vs nebulized salbutamol in asthma. *Indian J Pediatr* 2001; **68**: 1127-30.
81. Cydulka R, Davison R, Grammer L, Parker M, Mathews J IV. The use of epinephrine in the treatment of older adult asthmatics. *Ann Emerg Med* 1988; **17**: 322-6.
82. Putland M, Kerr D, Kelly AM. Adverse events associated with the use of intravenous epinephrine in emergency department patients presenting with severe asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 2006; **47**:559-63.
83. Sellers WF. Inhaled and intravenous treatment in acute severe and life-threatening asthma. *Br J Anaesth* 2013; **110**: 183-90.
84. Bogie AL, Towne D, Luckett PM, Abramo TJ, Wiebe RA. Comparison of intravenous terbutaline versus normal saline in pediatric patients on continuous high-dose nebulized albuterol for status asthmaticus. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2007; **23**: 355-61.
85. Travers AH, Milan SJ, Jones AP, Camargo CA Jr, Rowe BH. Addition of intravenous beta(2)-agonists to inhaled beta(2)-agonists for acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; **12**:CD010179.
86. Pascual RM, Bleecker ER. Pharmacogenetics of asthma. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2010; **10**: 226-35.
87. Gibson PG, Saltos N, Fakes K. Acute anti-inflammatory effects of inhaled budesonide in asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; **163**: 32-6.
88. Lahn M, Bijur P, Gallagher EJ. Randomized clinical trial of intramuscular vs oral methylprednisolone in the treatment of asthma exacerbations following discharge from an emergency department. *Chest* 2004; **126**: 362-8.
89. Chian CF, Tsai CL, Wu CP, Chiang CH, Su WL, Chen CW, Perng WC. Five-day course of budesonide inhalation suspension is as effective as oral prednisolone in the treatment of mild to severe acute asthma exacerbations in adults. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2011; **24**: 256-60.
90. Sin DD, Tu JV. Inhaled corticosteroid therapy reduces the risk of rehospitalization and all-cause mortality in elderly asthmatics. *Eur Respir J* 2001; **17**: 380-5.
91. Ediger D, Coskun F, Uzaslan E, Yuksel E, Karadag M, Ege E. Clinical effectiveness of nebulized budenoside in the treatment of acute severe asthma attacks. *Tuberkulozve Toraks Dergisi* 2006; **54**: 128-36.
92. Harrison TW, Osborne J, Newton S, Tattersfield AE. Doubling the dose of inhaled corticosteroid to prevent asthma exacerbations: randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004; **363**: 271-5.
93. Volovitz B, Bilavsky E, Nussinovitch M. Effectiveness of high repeated doses of inhaled budesonide or fluticasone in controlling acute asthma exacerbations in young children. *J Asthma* 2008; **45**: 561-7.

94. Rodrigo GJ. Inhaled corticosteroids as rescue medication in acute severe asthma. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol* 2008; **4**: 723-9.
95. Edmonds ML, Milan SJ, Camargo CA Jr, Pollack CV Jr, Rowe BH. Early use of inhaled corticosteroids in the emergency department treatment of acute asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; **12**:CD002308.
96. FitzGerald JM, Becker A, Sears MR, Mink S, Chung K, Lee J. Doubling the dose of budesonide versus maintenance treatment in asthma exacerbations. *Thorax* 2004; **59**: 550-6.
97. Melani AS. Nebulized corticosteroids in asthma and COPD. An Italian appraisal. *Respir Care* 2012; **57**: 1161-74.
98. Rodrigo GJ. Comparison of inhaled fluticasone with intravenous hydrocortisone in the treatment of adult acute asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; **171**: 1231-6.
99. Kravitz J, Dominici P, Ufberg J, Fisher J, Giraldo P. Two days of dexamethasone versus 5 days of prednisone in the treatment of acute asthma: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Emerg Med* 2011; **58**: 200-4.
100. Shefrin A, Goldman R. Use of dexamethasone and prednisone in acute asthma exacerbations in pediatric patients. *Canadian Family Physician* 2009; **55**: 704-6.
101. Self TH, Redmond AM, Ngyen WT. Reassessment of theophylline use for severe asthma exacerbation: is it justified in critically ill patients? *J Asthma* 2002; **39**:677-86.
102. Rodrigo C, Rodrigo G. Treatment of acute asthma. Lack of therapeutic benefit and increase of toxicity from aminophylline given in addition to high doses of salbutamol delivered by metered-dose inhaler with a spacer. *Chest* 1994; **106**: 1071-6.
103. Mitra A, Bassler D, Goodman K, Lasserson TJ, Ducharme FM. Intravenous aminophylline for acute severe asthma in children over two years receiving inhaled bronchodilators. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;(2):CD001276.
104. Silverman R, Nowak R, Korenblat P, *et al*. Zafirlukast treatment for acute asthma: evaluation in a randomized, double blind, multicenter trial. *Chest* 2004; **126**: 1480-9.
105. Dockhorn RJ, Baumgartner RA, Leff JA, Noonan M, Vandormael K, Stricker W, Weinland DE, Reiss TF. Comparison of the effects of intravenous and oral montelukast on airway function: a double blind, placebo controlled, three period, crossover study in asthmatic patients. *Thorax* 2000; **55**: 260-5.
106. Camargo CA, Smithline HA, Malice MP, Green SA, Reiss TF. A randomized controlled trial of intravenous montelukast in acute asthma. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003; **167**: 528-33.
107. Silverman RA, Miller CJ, Chen Y. Zafirlukast reduces relapse and treatment failure after an acute asthma episode. *Chest* 2004; **125**: 1081-102.
108. Song WJ, Chang YS. Magnesium sulfate for acute asthma in adults: a systematic literature review. *Asia Pac Allergy* 2012; **2**: 76-85.
109. Silverman RA, Osborn H, Runge J *et al*. Acute Asthma/Magnesium Study Group. IV magnesium sulfate in the treatment of acute severe asthma: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Chest* 2002; **122**: 489-97.
110. Jones L, Goodacre S. Magnesium sulfate in the treatment of acute asthma: evaluation of current practice in adult emergency departments. *Emerg Med J* 2009; **26**: 783-5.
111. Rowe BH, Bretzlaff J, Bourdon C, Bota G, Blitz S, Camargo CA. Magnesium sulfate for treating exacerbations of acute asthma in the emergency department. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2000; **1**:CD001490.
112. Cheuk DK, Chau TC, Lee SL. A meta-analysis on intravenous magnesium sulphate for treating acute asthma. *Arch Dis Child* 2005; **90**: 74-7.
113. Scarfone RJ, Loiselle JM, Joffe MD, *et al*. A randomized trial of magnesium in the emergency department treatment of children with asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 2000; **36**: 572-8.
114. Porter RS, Nester, Braitman LE, Geary U, Dalsey WC. Intravenous magnesium is ineffective in adult asthma, a randomized trial. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2001; **8**: 9-15.
115. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention, updated December 2012 [online]. Available at: <http://www.ginasthma.org>. Accessed August 13, 2013.

116. Blitz M, Blitz S, Hughes R, *et al*. Aerosolized magnesium sulfate for acute asthma: a systematic review. *Chest* 2005; **128**:337-44.
117. Kress JP, Noth I, Gehlbach BK, *et al*. The utility of albuterol nebulized with heliox during acute asthma exacerbations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002; **165**: 1317-21.
118. Rose, JS, Panacek, EA, Miller, P Prospective randomized trial of heliox-driven continuous nebulizers in the treatment of asthma in the emergency department. *J Emerg Med* 2002; **22**:133-7.
119. Bag R, Bandi V, Fromm RE Jr, Guntupalli KK. The effect of heliox-driven bronchodilator aerosol therapy on pulmonary function tests in patients with asthma. *J Asthma* 2002; **39**: 659-65.
120. Kim IK, Phrampus E, Venkataraman S, *et al*. Helium/oxygen-driven albuterol nebulization in the treatment of children with moderate to severe asthma exacerbations: a randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2005; **116**: 1127-33.
121. Lee DL, Hsu CW, Lee H, Chang HW, Huang YC. Beneficial effects of albuterol therapy driven by heliox versus by oxygen in severe asthma exacerbation. *Acad Emerg Med* 2005; **12**: 820-7.
122. Rivera ML, Kim TY, Stewart GM, Minasyan L, Brown L. Albuterol nebulized in heliox in the initial ED treatment of pediatric asthma: a blinded, randomized controlled trial. *Am J Emerg Med* 2006; **24**:38-42.
123. Gluck, EH, Onorato, DJ, Castriotta, R. Helium-oxygen mixtures in intubated patients with status asthmaticus and respiratory acidosis. *Chest* 1990; **98**:693-8.
124. Henderson SO, Acharya P, Kilaghbian T. Use of heliox driven nebuliser therapy in the treatment of acute asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 1999; **33**:141-6.
125. Gupta VK, Cheifetz IM. Heliox administration in the pediatric intensive care unit: an evidence-based review. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2005; **6**:204-11.
126. Soroksky A, Stav D, Shpirer I. A pilot prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of bilevel positive airway pressure in acute asthmatic attack. *Chest* 2003; **123**:1018-25.
127. Meduri GU, Cook TR, Turner RE, Cohen M, Leeper KV. Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in status asthmaticus. *Chest* 1996; **110**:767-74.
128. Gupta D, Nath A, Agarwal R, Behera D. A prospective randomized controlled trial on the efficacy of noninvasive ventilation in severe acute asthma. *Respir Care* 2010; **55**:536-43.
129. Fernandez MM, Villagra A, Blanch L, Fernandez R. Non-invasive mechanical ventilation in status asthmaticus. *Intensive Care Med* 2001; **27**:486-92.
130. Beers SL, Abramo TJ, Bracken A, Wiebe RA. Bilevel positive airway pressure in the treatment of status asthmaticus in pediatrics. *Am J Emerg Med* 2007; **25**:6-9.
131. Lim WJ, Mohammed AR, Carson KV, Mysore S, Labiszewski NA, *et al*. Non-invasive positive pressure ventilation for treatment of respiratory failure due to severe acute exacerbations of asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; **12**:CD004360.
132. Tuxen DV, Andersen MB, Scheinkestel CD. Mechanical ventilation for severe asthma. In: Hall JB, Corbridge TC, Rodrigo C, Rodrigo GV, editors. Acute asthma: assessment and management. *New York McGraw Hill* 2000:229-8.
133. Allen JY, Macias CG. The efficacy of ketamine in pediatric emergency department patients who present with acute severe asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 2005; **46**:43-50.
134. Takasaki Y, Kido T, Semba K. Dexmedetomidine facilitates induction of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation for acute respiratory failure in patients with severe asthma. *J Anesth* 2009; **23**:147-50.
135. Adnet F, Dhissi G, Borron SW, Galinski M, Rayeh F, Cupa M, *et al*. Complication profiles of adult asthmatics requiring paralysis during mechanical ventilation. *Intensive Care Med* 2001; **27**:1729-36.
136. Kesler SM, Sprengle MD, David WS, Leatherman JW. Severe weakness complicating status asthmaticus despite minimal duration of neuromuscular paralysis. *Intensive Care Med* 2009; **35**:157-60.

137. Louie S, Morrissey BM, Kenyon NJ, Albertson TE, Avdalovic M. The critically ill asthmatic—from ICU to discharge. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* 2012;**43**:30–44.
138. Georgopoulos D, Kondili E, Prinianakis G. How to set the ventilator in asthma. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* 2000;**55**:74–83.
139. Tuxen DV. Detrimental effects of positive end-expiratory pressure during controlled mechanical ventilation of patients with severe airflow obstruction. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1989;**140**:5–9.
140. Carroll CL, Zucker AR. Barotrauma not related to type of positive pressure ventilation during severe asthma exacerbations in children. *J Asthma* 2008;**45**(5):421–4.
141. Winters M. Ventilator Management of the Intubated Patient with Asthma. *J Emerg Med* 2011;**40**:419–27.
142. Guntupalli K, Bandi V. Ventilation of patients with severe obstructive lung disease. In: Dellinger R, editor. Current topics in intensive care: asthma. London: Saunders; 1996.
143. Leatherman JW. Mechanical Ventilation for Severe Asthma. *Respiratory Care* 2007; **52**:1460–2.
144. Medoff BD. Invasive and noninvasive ventilation in patients with asthma. *Respir Care* 2008;**53**:740–8.
145. Leatherman JW, McArthur C, Shapiro RS. Effect of prolongation of expiratory time on dynamic hyperinflation in mechanically ventilated patients with severe asthma. *Crit Care Med* 2004;**32**:1542–5.
146. Stather DR, Stewart TE. Clinical review: Mechanical ventilation in severe asthma. *Critical Care* 2005;**9**:581–7.
147. Pepe PE, Marini JJ. Occult positive end-expiratory pressure in mechanically ventilated patients with airflow obstruction: the auto-PEEP effect. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1982;**126**:166–70.
148. Tuxen DV, Lane S. The effects of ventilatory pattern on hyperinflation, airway pressures, and circulation in mechanical ventilation of patients with severe air-flow obstruction. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1987;**136**:872–9.
149. Kondili E, Prinianakis G, Georgopoulos D. Patient-ventilator interaction. *Br J Anaesth* 2003;**91**:106–19.
150. Sassoon CS, Foster GT. Patient-ventilator asynchrony. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2001;**7**:28–33.
151. Blanch L, Lucangelo U, Fernandez R, Baigorri F, De Monte A, Saura P, *et al*. Efecto de la PEEP sobre la mecanica pulmonar y el capnograma espiratorio en pacientes con enfermedad pulmonar obstructiva cronica ventilados mecanicamente. *Med Intensiva* 1995;**19**:304–12.
152. Oddo M, Feihl F, Schaller MD, Perret C. Management of mechanical ventilation in acute severe asthma: practical aspects. *Intensive Care Med* 2006;**32**:501–10.
153. Levy BD, Kitch B, Fanta CH. Medical and ventilatory management of status asthmaticus. *Intensive Care Med* 1998;**24**:105–17.
154. Sydow M, Golisch W, Buscher H, Zinserling J, Crozier TA, Burchardi H. Effect of low-level PEEP on inspiratory work of breathing in intubated patients, both with healthy lungs and with COPD. *Intensive Care Med* 1995;**21**:887–95.
155. McFadden ER Jr, Ingram RH Jr, Haynes RL, Wellman JJ. Predominant site of flow limitation and mechanisms of postexertional asthma. *J Appl Physiol* 1977;**42**:746–52.
156. Tuxen DV. Detrimental effects of positive end-expiratory pressure during controlled mechanical ventilation of patients with severe air-flow obstruction. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1989;**140**:5–9.
157. Ranieri VM, Grasso S, Fiore T, Giuliani R. Auto-positive end-expiratory pressure and dynamic hyperinflation. *Clin Chest Med* 1996;**17**:379–94.
158. Caramaz MP, Borges JB, Tucci MR, Okamoto VN, Carvalho CR, Kacmarek RM, *et al*. Paradoxical responses to positive end-expiratory pressure in patients with airway obstruction during controlled ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 2005;**33**:1519–28.
159. Chonghaile MN, Higgins B, Laffey JG. Permissive hypercapnia: role in protective lung ventilatory strategies. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2005;**11**:56–62.
160. Menitove SM, Goldring RM. Combined ventilator and bicarbonate strategy in the

- management of status asthmaticus. *Am J Med* 1983;**74**:898–901.
161. Mutlu GM, Factor P, Schwartz DE, Sznajder JI. Severe status asthmaticus: Management with permissive hypercapnia and inhalation anesthesia. *Crit Care Med* 2002;**30**:477–80.
162. Mutch WA, Buchman TG, Girling LG, Walker EK, McManus BM, Graham MR. Biologically variable ventilation improves gas exchange and respiratory mechanics in a model of severe bronchospasm. *Crit Care Med* 2007;**35**:1749–55.
163. Kowalski S, McMullen MC, Girling LG, McCarthy BG. Biologically variable ventilation in patients with acute lung injury: a pilot study. *Can J Anaesth* 2013;**60**:502–3.
164. Vargas F, Bui HN, Boyer A, et al. Intrapulmonary percussive ventilation in acute exacerbations of COPD patients with mild respiratory acidosis: a randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care* 2005; **9**:R382–R389.
165. Chatburn RL. High-frequency assisted airway clearance. *Respir Care* 2007; **52**:1224–35.
166. Soland V, Brock G, King M. Effect of airway wall flexibility on clearance by simulated cough. *J Appl Physiol* 1987; **63**:707–12.
167. Ides K, Vos W, De Backer L, Vissers D, et al. Acute effects of intrapulmonary percussive ventilation in COPD patients assessed by using conventional outcome parameters and a novel computational fluid dynamics technique. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2012;**7**:667–71.
168. Restrepo RD, Pettignano R, DeMeuse P. Halothane, an effective infrequently used drug, in the treatment of pediatric status asthmaticus: a case report. *J Asthma* 2005;**42**:649–651.
169. Turner DA, Heitz D, Cooper MK, Smith PB, Arnold JH, Bateman ST. Isoflurane for life-threatening bronchospasm: a 15-year single-center experience. *Respir Care* 2012;**57**:1857–64.
170. Rooke GA, Choi JH, Bishop MJ. The effect of isoflurane, halothane, sevoflurane and thiopental/nitrous oxide on respiratory system resistance after tracheal intubation. *Anesthesiology* 1997;**86**:1294–9.
171. Burburan SM, Xisto DG, Rocco PR. Anaesthetic management in asthma. *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2007;**73**:357–65.
172. Walsh BK, Green M. Inhaled anesthetic for life-threatening bronchospasm: is it ready for prime time? *Respir Care* 2012;**57**:1982–3.
173. Woods BD, Sladen RN. Perioperative considerations for the patient with asthma and bronchospasm. *Br J Anaesth* 2009;**103**:i57–i65.
174. Groeben H, Peters J. Lidocaine exerts its effect on induced bronchospasm by mitigating reflexes, rather than be attenuation of smooth muscle contraction. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2007;**51**:359–64.
175. Jooste E, Klafter F, Hirshman CA, Emala CW. A mechanism for rapacuronium-induced bronchospasm: M2 muscarinic receptor antagonism. *Anesthesiology* 2003;**98**:906–11.
176. Bishop MJ, O'Donnell JT, Pharm D, Salemi JR. Mivacurium and bronchospasm. *Anesth Analg* 2003;**97**:484–5.
177. Hebbar KB, Petrillo-Albarano T, Coto-Puckett W, Heard M, Rycus PT, Fortenberry JD. Experience with use of extracorporeal life support for severe refractory status asthmaticus in children. *Crit Care* 2009;**13**:R29.
178. American Lung Association Epidemiology and Statistics Unit, Research and Program Services. Trends in asthma morbidity and mortality. New York: American Lung Association; 2012. Available at: <http://www.lungusa.org/finding-cures/our-research/trend-reports/asthma-trend-report.pdf>. Accessed August 26, 2013.
179. Camargo CA Jr, Emond SD, Boulet L, Gibson PG, Kolbe J, Wagner CW, Brenner BE. Emergency Department–Asthma Discharge Plan. Developed at “Asthma Education in the Adult Emergency Department: A Multidisciplinary Consensus Conference,” New York Academy of Medicine, New York, NY; 2001 April 1–5. Boston, MA: Massachusetts General Hospital, 2001. 2 pp.
180. Sin DD, Bell NR, Svenson LW, Man SF. The impact of follow-up physician visits on emergency readmissions for patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a population-based study. *Am J Med* 2002;**112**:120–5.
181. Baren JM, Boudreaux ED, Brenner BE, Cydulka RK, Rowe BH, Clark S, Camargo CA

- Jr. Randomized controlled trial of emergency department interventions to improve primary care follow-up for patients with acute asthma. *Chest* 2006;**129**:257–65.
182. Ho J, Bender BG, Gavin LA, O'Connor SL, Wamboldt MZ, Wamboldt FS. Relations among asthma knowledge, treatment adherence, and outcome. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003;**111**:498–502.
183. Silverman RA, Boudreaux ED, Woodruff PG, Clark S, Camargo CA Jr. Cigarette smoking among asthmatic adults presenting to 64 emergency departments. *Chest* 2003;**123**:1472–9.
184. Smith SR, Jaffe DM, Fisher EB Jr, Trinkaus KM, Highstein G, Strunk RC. Improving follow-up for children with asthma after an acute Emergency Department visit. *J Pediatr* 2004;**145**:772–7.
185. Price D, Bosnic-Anticevich S, Briggs A, Chrystyn H, Rand C, Scheuch G, *et al*. Inhaler competence in asthma: Common errors, barriers to use and recommended solutions. *Respiratory Medicine* 2013;**107**:37–46.
186. Melani AS, Bonavia M, Cilenti V, Cinti C, Lodi M, *et al*. Inhaler mishandling remains common in real life and is associated with reduced disease control. *Respiratory Medicine* 2011;**105**:930–8.
187. Grimaldi-Bensouda L, Zureik M, Aubier M, Humbert M, Levy J, Benichou J, *et al*. Pharmacoepidemiology of Asthma and Xolair (PAX) Study Group. Does omalizumab make a difference to the real-life treatment of asthma exacerbations? Results from a large cohort of patients with severe uncontrolled asthma. *Chest* 2013;**143**:398–405.
188. Siergiejko Z, Świebocka E, Smith N, Peckitt C, Leo J, Peachey G, Maykut R. Oral corticosteroid sparing with omalizumab in severe allergic (IgE-mediated) asthma patients. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2011;**27**:2223–8.
189. Wechsler ME, Laviolette M, Rubin AS *et al*. Bronchial thermoplasty: long-term safety and effectiveness in patients with severe persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; **132**: 1295–302.
190. Pavord ID, Thomson NC, Niven RM, Corris PA, Chung KF, Cox G, Armstrong B, Shargill NS, Laviolette M; Research in Severe Asthma Trial Study Group. Safety of bronchial thermoplasty in patients with severe refractory asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2013;**111**:402–7.
191. Castro M, Rubin AS, Laviolette M, Fiterman J, De Andrade LM, Shah PL, *et al*. Effectiveness and safety of bronchial thermoplasty in the treatment of severe asthma: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; **181**:116–24.